

Application No. 10/523,754

Amendment dated August 21, 2008

Amendment to Non-Final Office Action of May 21, 2008

Docket No.: NY-GRYN 215-US

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Claims 61, 63-90 are currently pending.

Claims 61, 63-69 and 71-90 stand rejected.

Allowed claim 70 is canceled and its subject matter is incorporated substantially into independent claim 61.

Claims 61, 63-69 and 71-90 are amended herein.

In light of the above-amendments and remarks to follow, reconsideration and allowance of this application are requested.

Specification Objections

The specification has been objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. Applicant has rewritten the claims substantially to its original form as recited in Supplemental Preliminary Amendment filed on June 24, 2005. The amended claims are directed to a system comprising a database, a client interface, a consultant interface, a server, a visibility module and a translating module, which are fully supported by the specification. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that the objection to the specification be withdrawn.

§ 101 Rejections

Claims 61 and 63-90 has been rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Applicant notes the pending claims were rewritten as "computer readable medium claims" at the suggestion of the Examiner in prior office action. However, in view of this rejection, applicant has rewritten the claims substantially to its original form as recited in Supplemental Preliminary Amendment filed on June 24, 2005. Applicant respectfully submits that the claimed invention as recited in

Application No. 10/523,754

Amendment dated August 21, 2008

Amendment to Non-Final Office Action of May 21, 2008

Docket No.: NY-GRYN 215-US

amended claims are directed to a statutory subject matter, namely a system comprising a database, a client interface, a consultant interface, a server, a visibility module and a translating module. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that this § 101 rejection be withdrawn.

§ 103 Obvious Rejections

Claims 61, 63-66, 80, 82 and 83 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over EP 1 158 439 to Battilega et al. (hereafter "Battilega") and U.S. Published Patent Application No. 2003/0046389 to Thieme (hereafter "Thieme"). Claims 67-69, 71-75, 77-79, 81 and 84-90 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over the combination of Battilega and Thieme in view of U.S. Published Patent Application No. 2002/0038350 to Lambert et al. (hereafter "Lambert"). Claim 76 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over the combination of Battilega and Thieme in view of Lambert and CROSS BROWSER LAYERS, PART ONE (2001) by Budi Kurniawan (hereinafter "Kurniawan").

In order to expedite the prosecution of this application without admitting propriety of these rejections, applicant wishes to accept the allowed claim 70 without prejudice to represent the original rejected claims in a continuation application. Accordingly, applicant has amended independent claim 61 in good-faith to incorporate the subject matter substantially recited in the allowed claim 70.

In view of the foregoing amendments and the remarks above, applicants believe all of the pending claims 61, and 63-69 and 71-90 are now in condition for allowance.

* * *

Application No. 10/523,754
Amendment dated August 21, 2008
Amendment to Non-Final Office Action of May 21, 2008

Docket No.: NY-GRYN 215-US

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0624, under Order No. NY-GRYN 215-US (10501310) from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: August 21, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By 

C. Andrew Im

Registration No.: 40,657

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.

666 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10103

Attorney for Applicant

(212) 318-3000

(212) 318-3400 (Fax)